

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,520 10/11/2001		10/11/2001	Bettina Fath	101216-19	9360
27387	7590	07/29/2003			
BRUCE LO			EXAMINER		
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A. 220 EAST 42ND STREET, 30TH FLOOR				CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
NEW YORI	K, NY 10	, NY 10017		PAPER NUMBER	
				1615	
				DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/975,520	FATH ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lakshmi S Channavajjala	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	ON FOR ALLOWANCE. Cation. A proper reply to a				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 1706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply set in the statutory period for the statutory period for the statutory period for reply set in the statutory period for the statutory period for the statutory period for the statutory period for the	If the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would locanceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(sexplanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) will not be entered belo	☑ will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappi	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement						
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet						
Character of Tarland Office						

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants argue that the instant composition showed unexpected and superior results and that the cited art would not have rendered such a result predictable. Accordingly, applicants argue that instant composition is allowable. Applicants' above argument and the argument that instant TiO2/mica does not relate to sunscreens is not persuasive because instant claims are directed to compositions. While the prior art teaches the claimed components, the prior art motivation to use the compound need not be the same as that of the instant. Besides, both Penska and Kurz recognize compositions containing UV-B and UV-A ad other sunscreen compound for both hair as well as skin, not just for skin alone, as argued by applicants. Applicants argue that instant TiO2/mica improve manageability of hair. While instant claims do not recite such limitation, TiO2/mica exhibits the same function when applied for hair. Therefore, for instant rejection has been maintained.

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CHATER 2600